



High Growth Firms: What Makes the Difference?

Kristin Schreiber

Director COSME Program & SME Policy
European Commission – DG GROW

GW Global Entrepreneurship Conference
Washington D.C. – October 13, 2016


On average the Growth Leaders¹ in both age groups have more actors involved in innovation projects

Intensity of innovation partnerships




Sample 1: 3-5 year-old companies³

Number of external actors, innovation partners, partners and employees involved



Sample 2: 6-10 year-old companies⁴

Number of external actors, innovation partners, partners and employees involved



■ Growth Leaders ■ Growth Laggards

¹ The Growth Leaders represent the top 10% of companies in terms of last year's total income and the income growth rate over the last 4 years

² The Growth Laggards represent the bottom 10% of companies in terms of last year's total income and the income growth rate over the last 4 years

³ N_{total} = 131; number of Growth Leaders = 13; number of Growth Laggards = 13

⁴ N_{total} = 294; number of Growth Leaders = 29; number of Growth Laggards = 29

Source: IMP^{rove} – European Innovation Management Academy; Figures as of September 2016

www.improve-innovation.eu; IMP^{rove} is a registered trademark


A more comprehensive definition of the innovation strategy seems to be more relevant for older enterprises

Characteristics of the innovation strategy




Sample 1: 3-5 year-old companies³

Number of attributes describing the innovation strategy



Sample 2: 6-10 year-old companies⁴

Number of attributes describing the innovation strategy



■ Growth Leaders ■ Growth Laggards

1 The Growth Leaders represent the top 10% of companies in terms of last year's total income and the income growth rate over the last 4 years
 2 The Growth Laggards represent the bottom 10% of companies in terms of last year's total income and the income growth rate over the last 4 years
 3 N_{total} =131; number of Growth Leaders= 13; number of Growth Laggards= 13
 4 N_{total} =294; number of Growth Leaders= 29; number of Growth Laggards= 29
 Source: IMP^{rove} – European Innovation Management Academy; Figures as of September 2016


The Growth Leaders¹ demonstrate an up to 50% higher success rate compared to the Growth Laggards²

Success rate of incremental innovation projects



Sample 1: 3-5 year-old companies³


Average success rates of incremental innovation projects for the improvement of the following areas



■ Growth Leaders ■ Growth Laggards

Sample 2: 6-10 year-old companies⁴

Average success rates of incremental innovation projects for the improvement of the following areas



1 The Growth Leaders represent the top 10% of companies in terms of last year's total income and the income growth rate over the last 4 years

2 The Growth Laggards represent the bottom 10% of companies in terms of last year's total income and the income growth rate over the last 4 years

3 N_{total} =131; number of Growth Leaders= 13; number of Growth Laggards= 13


4 N_{total} =294; number of Growth Leaders= 29; number of Growth Laggards= 29

Source: IMP³rove – European Innovation Management Academy; Figures as of September 2016

www.improve-innovation.eu; IMP³rove is a registered trademark

The type of industry influences the relationship between export level and sales growth




Export rates by industry



1. Export rate is measured as the average percentage of exports from sales over the past years (maximum 4 years considered).
 2. Growth rate is measured as the average percentage of sales growth over the past years (maximum 4 years considered); low-growth companies: below the median growth rate per industry sample; high-growth companies: above the median growth rate per industry sample.
 3. Growth Champions are measured as the top 10% companies in the respective sample in terms of profit growth, sales growth and employee growth.
 Source: IMP^{rove} – European Innovation Management Academy; Figures as of January 2016
www.improve-innovation.eu; IMP^{rove} is a registered trademark

The relationship between export level and sales growth varies by size of the country in which the start-up is based

Export rates by size of country in the EU³



Preliminary interpretation

- Companies in small EU countries on average lack infrastructure and competences to export.
- Companies in medium-sized EU countries have access to sufficient infrastructure and competences to export while at the same time benefiting from extended market access more than companies in large EU countries.
- Companies in large EU countries are less dependent on foreign markets.

1. Export rate is measured as the average percentage of exports from sales over the past years (maximum 4 years considered).
 2. Growth rate is measured as the average percentage of sales growth over the past years (maximum 4 years considered); low-growth companies: below the median growth rate per country size sample; high-growth companies: above the median growth rate per country size sample.
 3. Large EU countries: Germany, UK, France, Italy, Spain, Poland; Medium EU countries: Romania, Netherlands, Belgium, Portugal, Greece, Czech Republic, Hungary, Sweden, Austria, Bulgaria; Small EU countries: Finland, Slovakia, Latvia, Slovenia.
 Source: IMP²rove – European Innovation Management Academy; Figures as of January 2016
www.improve-innovation.eu; IMP²rove is a registered trademark

SMEs in the European Union

Class size	Enterprises		Persons employed		Value added	
	Number	Share	Number	Share	Billion €	Share
Micro	21 356 252	92,8 %	40 057 410	29,5 %	1 454	21,2 %
Small	1 378 702	6,0 %	27 503 428	20,2 %	1 233	18,0 %
Medium-sized	224 647	1,0 %	23 170 353	17,0 %	1 251	18,2 %
SMEs	22 959 601	99,8 %	90 731 191	66,8 %	3 938	57,4 %
Large	44 458	0,2 %	45 168 733	33,2 %	2 924	42,6 %
Total	23 004 059	100,0 %	135 899 924	100,0 %	6 862	100,0 %

Source: Estimates for 2015 produced by DIW Econ, based on 2008-13 figures from the Structural Business Statistics Database (Eurostat).
The data cover the 'non-financial business economy', which includes industry, construction, trade, and services (NACE Rev. 2 sections B to J, L, M and N)



Results of the public consultation related to Start-up initiative

Public consultation: **key facts**

- ❖ Over 570 replies and 16 position papers
- ❖ Broad consultation reaching beyond the EU
- ❖ Structured around the life cycle of a company
- ❖ Over 53% of respondents: existing or potential entrepreneurs




Stand-up: most desired support measures

- ❖ Providing support local structures to encourage entrepreneurial activities' (82%)
- ❖ Encouraging higher education institutions to establish programmes and services to support entrepreneurship (78%)
- ❖ Introducing entrepreneurship education in all levels of formal education (56%)

Start-up: to what extent is each of the following an obstacle to start a company?

1	2	3	4	5
Least important	Average ranking			Most important
Access to finance				72% 4.2
Rules and costs of hiring workers				66% 4.0
Resources required to navigate overall regulatory complexity				61% 3.7
Tax compliance costs (filing and paying taxes)				55% 3.7
Procedures and costs to access industrial and/or IPRs				46% 3.5

Scale-up: to what extent is each of the following an obstacle to expand a company?



Scale-up: how important are the following policies and measures for the expansion?

1	2	3	4	5
Least important	Average ranking			Most important
Facilitating links between large corporations and start-ups to unleash the scale-up potential through collaboration				62% 4.0
Providing financial support for the acquisition of capacity-boosting services from both public and private providers				62% 3.9
Developing tailor-made support measures for rapidly growing scale-ups , e.g. mentoring on how to manage change				62% 3.9
Creating network of regional support centres for scale-ups				54% 3.7
Supporting the uptake of resource efficient solutions to reduce production costs				46% 3.6

Boosting innovation: **desired measures**

- ❖ support to universities via different schemes e.g. business internship, access to incubators (71%)
- ❖ promoting partnership between start-ups and medium sized companies (70%)
- ❖ support to incubators, university R&D and technology transfer programmes (70%)

Ecosystem-level: type of measures considered the most useful for start-ups & scale-ups?

1	2	3	4	5
Least important	Average ranking			Most important
Supporting the creation of local/regional/national entrepreneurial ecosystems				85% 4.5
Creating EU networks of investors, entrepreneurs, universities, accelerators and co-working spaces to increase synergies and facilitate access to venture capital, new markets, talents and skilled employees				81% 4.3
Connecting start-up ecosystems across the EU				71% 4.1
Integrating entrepreneurial ecosystems with the Smart Specialisation Strategy				59% 3.9
Creating European centres outside the EU to promote EU start-ups				58% 3.7

Public consultation: **the key findings**

- ❖ Start-ups looking to scale-up still face regulatory, legal, administrative **barriers** esp. cross-border
- ❖ There are too few opportunities to **find and engage** with potential partners in finance, business and local authorities across the EU
- ❖ Accessing **finance**, especially equity financing is harder in Europe than elsewhere



Possible actions to support start-ups and scale-ups

- ❖ Removing **regulatory** barriers to scaling-up, cross-border
- ❖ Improving access to **information** on regulatory and tax regimes and sources of finance
- ❖ Maximising the effectiveness of Europe's **ecosystems**
- ❖ Ensuring access to **finance** (VC, angels, crowdfunding)
- ❖ Facilitating participation of start-ups and scale-ups in **public procurement**
- ❖ Improving access to skills and **intellectual property protection**